

Uganda - Assessment of Beneficiary Participation and Accountability under second Local Government Development Programme 2007

Uganda Bureau of Statistics (UBOS) - Ministry of Finance, Planning and Economic Development

Report generated on: May 10, 2018

Visit our data catalog at: <http://www.ubos.org/unda/index.php>

Overview

Identification

ID NUMBER

UGA-UBOS-LGDP-2007-v1.0

Version

VERSION DESCRIPTION

Version 1.2: Edited data used for the production of the final report and available for internal use only.

PRODUCTION DATE

2008-03-30

Overview

ABSTRACT

The Beneficiaries' Assessment Survey was conducted as a 'two-in-one' study, comprising an assessment of Beneficiary Participation and Accountability under the second Local Government Development Project (LGDP II) and a baseline survey for the Local Government Management and Service Delivery Project (LGMSDP). The development objective of the LGDP II is to improve Local Government Institutional Performance for sustainable, decentralised service delivery. The main objective of the survey was to assess beneficiary participation and accountability under LGDP II and provide baseline information for the Local Government Management and Service Delivery Programme (LGMSDP). Specifically, the objectives were to: Collect and establish baseline information from LGDP II beneficiaries on their perception of participation, transparency and accountability, Local Government planning, budgeting and resource allocation for the LGMSDP; Provide information for the assessment of the performance of LGDP II in supporting participation, transparency and accountability, LG planning, budgeting and resource allocation; Provide guidance and specific recommendations on enhancing participation, transparency and accountability of Local Governments in order to inform the design of the successor programme LGMSDP. The survey was nationwide covering all Higher Local Governments (HLGs) in Uganda including new districts. Information was collected at four levels, namely; districts, sub-counties, communities and households. The data collection instruments comprised specific questions on access to and quality of services with regard to LGDP II projects in the broad sectors of Health, Roads & Works, Education, Water, Sanitation and Production. Information from both studies is synonymous as the findings on LGDP II will form part of the baseline information for the LGMSD Program.

KIND OF DATA

Sample survey data [ssd]

UNITS OF ANALYSIS

The units of analysis of the LGDP 2007 survey include: individuals, households and communities.

Scope

NOTES

The scope of the LGDP Survey includes; Awareness about LGDP II, Access to services, Governance, Transparency and accountability, Beneficiary satisfaction, Capacity Building, Household characteristics including socio-economic and demographic information on household members, awareness, participation, facilitation and support with specific emphasis on activities of the LGDP II.

TOPICS

Topic	Vocabulary	URI
-------	------------	-----

Topic	Vocabulary	URI
government, political systems and organisations [4.4]	CESSDA	http://www.nesstar.org/rdf/common

KEYWORDS

government, political systems and organisations [4.4]

Coverage

GEOGRAPHIC COVERAGE

The survey was nationwide covering all Higher Local Governments (HLGs) in Uganda including new districts.

UNIVERSE

The survey covered the demand side stakeholders who are principally the beneficiary communities and the supply side stakeholders who include the local governments, Civil Society Organisations (CSOs)/Non-Governmental Organisations (NGOs) and the private sector.

Producers and Sponsors

PRIMARY INVESTIGATOR(S)

Name	Affiliation
Uganda Bureau of Statistics (UBOS)	Ministry of Finance, Planning and Economic Development

OTHER PRODUCER(S)

Name	Affiliation	Role
Ministry of Local Government	Government of Uganda	

FUNDING

Name	Abbreviation	Role
Ministry of Local Government	MOLG	Funding of the operational cost

OTHER ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Name	Affiliation	Role
Survey respondents	Community	Provided the required information

Metadata Production

METADATA PRODUCED BY

Name	Abbreviation	Affiliation	Role
Uganda Bureau of Statistics	UBOS	Ministry of Finance, Planning and Economic Development	Documentation of the survey

DATE OF METADATA PRODUCTION

2008-06-30

DDI DOCUMENT VERSION

Version 1.0 (June 2008). This is the first DDI Document for the Assessment of Beneficiary Participation and Accountability under second Local Government Development Programme 2007.

DDI DOCUMENT ID

DDI-UGA-UBOS-LGDP-2007-v1.0

Sampling

Sampling Procedure

As a first step, a comprehensive list of Enumeration Areas (EAs) comprising the lowest administrative unit (village), from the Uganda Population Census and Housing Census conducted in 2002 was used as the Sampling Frame. The list was not placed in any particular order but was initially divided into three parts corresponding to the three categories: municipalities, old districts and new districts. The number of households at the time of the 2002 Census was cumulated in each of the categories. A sampling interval (k) equal to the cumulative number (N) of households in each category divided by the number (n) of EAs allocated to the category was computed. A random number between 1 and the sampling interval (k) was selected as the random start. The EAs were then selected using Systematic Sampling within each category. The last stage of sampling involved a complete listing of all the households within the village, with the help of the Village council members of the selected EA / Village. The list facilitated random selection of 10 households to be interviewed in each village. Details of the sampling procedure are provided in Annex A of the final report. This report is available from the reports sub menu of the Tables and Reports link.

Response Rate

The response rate for the survey was 97% represented by a total of 1485 households with complete interview out of the intended sample of 1500 households.

Weighting

Weights are available in the hhsection1 and commsec1 datafiles for the household and community respectively. However, they have not been applied. Users who required weighting are advised to merge the corresponding datafiles.

Questionnaires

Overview

A household questionnaire was administered to the household head or a household member knowledgeable about the affairs of the selected household which collected various information on household members including sex, age, school attendance, and marital status. The household questionnaire also includes awareness of LGDP II activities, beneficiary participation, and facilitation and support modules. Community questionnaires were administered to respondents at community level who were members of the village council executive and other opinion leaders. Qualitative data was also collected through guided consultations with Key Informants at Higher Local Government (HLG) and Lower Local Government (LLG) levels for example, the Chief Administrative Office (CAO), the District Planner, Personnel Officer and Sub-county Chiefs. Guided consultations were also conducted with Administrators of Civil Society Organisations (CSOs) and private firms involved in the implementation of LGDP II projects. In addition, one Focus Group Discussion (FGD) was held with about 6-15 members in each selected beneficiary community. It was conducted with community members within the area of implementation of the sub-project and the local leaders.

Data Collection

Data Collection Dates

Start	End	Cycle
2007-09	2007-12	N/A

Data Collection Mode

Face-to-face [f2f]

Data Collection Notes

The data collection employed both quantitative and qualitative techniques. Information was collected at four levels, namely; district, sub-county, community and household. During data collection, the interviewers were facilitated with a list of LGDP II sub-projects which they used to cite examples of projects in order to probe spontaneous responses of respondents. This was done in order to give respondents better focus on the subject of discussion and to reduce non-response due to respondents' inability to isolate LGDP II projects from other interventions. Citation of examples was mostly done to establish access to and satisfaction with specific LGDP II services. The response rate was high because a call back visit would be arranged whenever no eligible respondents were available in the selected household. However, the maximum number of call back visits before a household was substituted was two (2) due to limited time.

Questionnaires

A household questionnaire was administered to the household head or a household member knowledgeable about the affairs of the selected household which collected various information on household members including sex, age, school attendance, and marital status. The household questionnaire also includes awareness of LGDP II activities, beneficiary participation, and facilitation and support modules. Community questionnaires were administered to respondents at community level who were members of the village council executive and other opinion leaders. Qualitative data was also collected through guided consultations with Key Informants at Higher Local Government (HLG) and Lower Local Government (LLG) levels for example, the Chief Administrative Office (CAO), the District Planner, Personnel Officer and Sub-county Chiefs. Guided consultations were also conducted with Administrators of Civil Society Organisations (CSOs) and private firms involved in the implementation of LGDP II projects. In addition, one Focus Group Discussion (FGD) was held with about 6-15 members in each selected beneficiary community. It was conducted with community members within the area of implementation of the sub-project and the local leaders.

Data Collectors

Name	Abbreviation	Affiliation
Uganda Bureau of Statistics (UBOS)	UBOS	Ministry of Finance, Planning and Economic Development

Supervision

The fieldwork was undertaken by 10 mobile field teams. Each team consisted of one Supervisor, four Interviewers and one Driver. In total, there were 10 Team leaders, 10 Editors, 40 Interviewers and 10 Drivers. The teams were recruited basing on the languages commonly spoken in each of the four statistical regions namely Central, Eastern, Northern and Western. Supervision of field work was also supported by a team of four Regional Supervisors and four Senior Supervisors who made spot-checks on the teams during data collection to ensure quality control.

Data Processing

Data Editing

To ensure quality of data, questionnaires were subjected to manual scrutiny by field editors to assess the consistency of the data collected.

Other Processing

Data entry was done by a team of 10 data entrants using an application designed in CS-PRO. A system of double entry was used to cater for keying errors. A computer program for verification and validation was included in the data-entry program to ensure variable response range and consistency checks. More intensive and thorough batch edits were carried out using MS-ACCESS by the processing team. A preliminary analysis of both qualitative and quantitative data obtained from the field survey was done and the results reviewed in consultation with the field team for consistency and validation. After data cleaning, the data set was converted to a statistical analysis software STATA to enable generation of analytical tables and graphs.

Data Appraisal

No content available